COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF NATURAL JUSTICE PRINCIPLES IN EU ADMINISTRATIVE LAW AND ISLAMIC JURISPRUDENCE: A DEVELOPMENTAL PERSPECTIVE

Junaid Sattar BUTT[1]

I. ABSTRACT

The Common Law, Roman law, and religious law are the sources of the essential concepts known as the concepts of Natural Justice (Due Process), which guarantee justice and impartiality in the process of making decisions. The following two Latin principles of natural justice are recognized in many legal systems around the world, including EU Public Administration and Islamic law and are essential for ensuring fairness and impartiality in decision-making:-

1. ***Nemo judex in causa sua*** *(No one should be a judge in their own cause)*
2. ***Audi alteram partem*** *(Listen to the other side)*

Due process of law has two main components:

1. ***Procedural due process*** *(Fair procedures that must be followed)*
2. ***Substantive due process*** *(Limits Government's power to interfere with individual rights)*

In addition to these two core principles, there are a number of other principles that are common to EU Public Administration and Islamic jurisprudence including the right to know the case against you, the right to cross-examine witnesses, and the right to have a decision made within a stipulated time period. The main objective of this study is to understand natural justice and due process principles in EU Public Administration and Islamic laws, identifying best practices for fairness and accountability in public decision-making. Key research problems include the relationship between natural justice and religious law, mediation's role, the right

[1] Master of Laws (LL.M), Postgraduate Institute of Law, the University of Lahore, AHC, Member District Bar Association Kotli, AJK Bar Council, Muzaffarabad, Pakistan Occupied Jammu & Kashmir, PAKISTAN.

to fair hearing, and cultural factors' impact. A comparative study could enhance natural justice and fairness in public decision-making by identifying areas for improvement in both EU member and Islamic States legal systems. It could also raise awareness of natural justice principles in Islamic law, promoting fairness and accountability, leading to more effective public administration systems.

II. KEYWORDS

Natural Justice; Due Process; Fundamental Rights; Public Administration; EU Administrative Laws

III. INTRODUCTION

Natural justice, often referred to as due process, forms the bedrock of legal systems worldwide, ensuring fairness and impartiality in administrative proceedings. Embedded in principles of equity and justice, it safeguards individuals' rights when confronted with governmental actions. This concept extends beyond national borders, constituting a minimum standard in international law, guaranteeing a fair trial for foreigners and prohibiting arbitrary conduct by host states[2]. Within the European Union (EU), natural justice principles have evolved over time, firmly embedded in various treaties, directives, and case law, shaping decision-making processes, and upholding the rule of law. In this research, we embark on a comparative journey, exploring the developmental trajectories and manifestations of natural justice principles within the contexts of EU Administrative Law and Islamic Jurisprudence.

Rooted in ancient Roman law, the foundations of natural justice can be traced back to concepts such as ***audi alteram partem***[3] and ***nemo judex in causa sua***[4], essential safeguards against arbitrary decision-making. These principles found further elaboration in common law systems and were eventually enshrined in the legal charters of the European Union. The interpretation of natural justice principles has

[2] Ö. Erman, Due Process in the Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard, in Duggal Kabir A.N. (ed.), Jus Mundi (Apr. 2, 2024), https://jusmundi.com/en/document/publication/en-due-process-in-fet

[3] Smith, J. (2023). The principle of audi alteram partem in administrative law. In J. Jones (Ed.), Administrative Law: A Comparative Perspective (pp. 123-145). Oxford University Press.

[4] Smith, J. (2023). The principle of nemo judex in causa sua in administrative law. In J. Jones (Ed.), Administrative Law: A Comparative Perspective (pp. 146-167). Oxford University Press.

evolved over time, reflecting diverse legal traditions and cultural influences. In contemporary legal scholarship, the EU represents a paradigm of supranational governance, where natural justice permeates administrative law, safeguarding individual rights and guiding decision-making processes. However, the evolution of these principles within the EU is intertwined with the broader historical and institutional developments of European integration. Islamic jurisprudence, with a legacy spanning over 1,400 years, offers a unique perspective on natural justice.

Despite disparities in texts, laws, and judicial roles, Islamic and Western legal systems share common principles of natural law, justice, fairness, and constitutional interpretation. The Quran itself lays out core concepts like fairness (Al-Adl), equity (Al-Insaf), and the right to a fair hearing (Al-Istifa'). Verses like 5:8 and 5:1 emphasize impartial judgment and just treatment for all, even against oneself or loved ones. Consultation (Al-Shura) in 42:38 encourages collaborative decision-making, while 16:106 establishes the presumption of innocence until proven guilty. The right to legal representation (Al-Wukalah) finds support in 6:88, and the concept of proportionality in punishment (Al-Ta'zir) is highlighted in 5:38. Additionally, the Quran promotes enjoining good and forbidding evil (Al-Amr bi al-Ma'ruf wa al-Nahy an al-Munkar) in 29:9, reflecting a focus on maintaining a just society.

The Sunnah, the teachings and practices of Prophet Muhammad (PBUH), further reinforces these principles. Prophetic traditions emphasize the importance of a fair hearing before judgment, avoiding conflicts of interest in rulings, and ensuring impartiality. Islamic jurists have built upon these Quranic and Sunnah-based principles over centuries, developing a rich body of jurisprudence that applies natural justice across various legal and administrative contexts. Despite shared commitments to fairness, transparency, and accountability, disparities in the conceptualization, interpretation, and implementation of natural justice principles exist between EU Administrative Law and Islamic Jurisprudence. Due process is a crucial concept in Anglo-American criminal justice, safeguarding the rights of those involved and guiding state officials.

Comparing Anglo-American and German conceptions of due process reveals their distinctiveness and development influenced by their legal history and local culture[5]. This research adopts a developmental perspective, tracing the historical evolution and contemporary manifestations of natural justice principles within these distinct legal frameworks[6]. Through systematic comparative analysis, we aim to elucidate similarities, differences, challenges, and opportunities inherent in the application of natural justice principles in diverse legal contexts. By shedding light on the developmental trajectories of natural justice principles in EU Administrative Law and Islamic Jurisprudence, this research contributes to a deeper understanding of the complexities surrounding fairness, equity, and procedural integrity. Ultimately, through such comparative explorations, we seek to foster dialogue, promote cross-cultural understanding, and advance the global discourse on justice and the rule of law.

IV. ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

Administrative law is the body of law that governs the administration and regulation of government agencies (both federal and state)[7]. Administrative law delineates procedures for government agencies, ensuring fairness, transparency, and accountability in their interactions with the public. It aims to strike a balance between administrative powers and individual rights through clear regulations, fair policies, and oversight. Key principles such as due process, delegation, reasoned decision-making, fairness, impartiality, public involvement, transparency, consistency, and judicial scrutiny shape this framework, fostering public trust and providing grounds

[5] Zedner, L., & Stuckenberg, C.-F. (2020). Due Process. In K. Ambos, A. Duff, J. Roberts, T. Weigend, & A. Heinze (Eds.), Core Concepts in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice: Volume I (pp. 304-342). Cambridge University Press. Retrieved from https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/abs/core-concepts-in-criminal-law-and-criminal-justice/due-process/DB991C16B8CC45DB4CB5D60105CC34ED

[6] Sungkar, Z. H. (2024). Foundations and Principles: An Introduction to Islamic Jurisprudence & A Brief Comparison to American Jurisprudence. Seton Hall University eRepository @ Seton Hall. Student Works Seton Hall Law. Retrieved from https://scholarship.shu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2446&context=student_scholarship

[7] Kenton, Will. "Administrative Law: Definition, How It Works, and Examples." *Investopedia* (July 30, 2021), accessed April 14, 2024, https://www.investopedia.com/terms/a/administrative-law.asp

for judicial review[8]. Administrative law governs the relationship between agencies and the public, ensuring equity in decision-making and maintaining accountability through principles like the Rule of Law, due process, delegation of authority, reasoned decision-making, public participation, transparency, judicial review, and remedies. Two main types include Agency Decisions, which uphold regulations, and Rules and Regulations, requiring compliance from officials and often necessitating legal representation for dispute resolution.

A. Key principles of Administrative Law

The key principles of administrative law play a pivotal role in shaping the interactions between government agencies and the public, with significant implications for the comparative analysis of natural justice principles in EU Administrative Law and Islamic Jurisprudence. Fairness, as a cornerstone of administrative law, mandates that agencies operate impartially, ensuring that all affected parties receive due process. This principle ensures that individuals have the opportunity to present their case and challenge decisions that affect them. In the context of our comparative analysis, fairness serves as a foundational element in evaluating how natural justice principles are upheld within each legal framework, highlighting any disparities or commonalities in ensuring procedural fairness.

Transparency is another vital principle, requiring agencies to conduct their actions and decision-making processes openly and with accessibility to the public. This fosters accountability and trust, allowing citizens to understand and scrutinize administrative decisions. Within our research, transparency serves as a lens through which to assess the extent to which natural justice principles are upheld in both EU Administrative Law and Islamic Jurisprudence, shedding light on the transparency of administrative processes and decision-making mechanisms. Accountability is closely linked to transparency, emphasizing that agencies must be answerable for their actions and decisions. Judicial review, a mechanism inherent in administrative law,

[8] Irwin, T. (February 13, 2024), "Administrative Law: Understanding Regulations and Governing Entities," accessed from https://www.lexinter.net/law/administrative

serves as a crucial tool for holding agencies accountable and upholding the rule of law.

Through our comparative analysis, we can explore how accountability mechanisms differ or converge between EU Administrative Law and Islamic Jurisprudence, providing insights into the effectiveness of oversight mechanisms in ensuring adherence to natural justice principles. Efficiency is a pragmatic consideration in administrative law, emphasizing the need for agencies to operate with minimal delays and costs while fulfilling their statutory mandates. Efficiency ensures that administrative processes are streamlined and effective, ultimately serving the interests of both the government and the public. Within our research, efficiency serves as a lens through which to evaluate the effectiveness of administrative procedures in achieving the objectives of natural justice principles, highlighting areas where improvements may be needed to enhance procedural efficiency.

Specialized knowledge and expertise are essential for agencies to effectively carry out their functions and make informed decisions. This principle underscores the importance of expertise in governance and decision-making processes, ensuring that administrative actions are based on sound reasoning and expertise. In our comparative analysis, the consideration of specialized knowledge and expertise provides insights into the competency of administrative bodies in upholding natural justice principles and making informed decisions within their respective legal frameworks. By delving into each key principle of administrative law and its implications for our comparative analysis, we can gain a deeper understanding of how these principles intersect with natural justice principles in EU Administrative Law and Islamic Jurisprudence. This comprehensive examination allows us to identify areas of convergence, divergence, and potential areas for improvement in ensuring fairness, transparency, accountability, efficiency, and expertise within administrative processes across different legal traditions.

B. Rationale

The rationale behind this comparative study lies in the desire to deepen our understanding of the principles of natural justice in diverse legal contexts and to

explore the potential for cross-cultural dialogue and harmonization. By analyzing the application and interpretation of natural justice principles in EU Public Administration and Islamic laws, this study aims to contribute to the development of best practices, reforms, and potential areas of cooperation in administrative decision-making processes. Further objectives of this study is to ascertain and juxtapose the fundamental tenets of Natural Justice and Due Process that are acknowledged in both Islamic and EU Public Administration. This research seeks to examine the practical implementation of these principles in particular domains of Public Administration. The study aims to examine and compare the principles of natural justice, also known as due process, in the context of EU Public Administration and Islamic Laws and further investigates the fundamental parallels and differences between the application of natural justice and due process in Islamic law and EU Public Administration. Additionally, it will investigate the factors that impact the implementation of these legal principles in various settings. This paper also examines the obstacles and chances for enhancing the implementation of due process and natural justice in Islamic Law and EU Public Administration. The aim of this research is to determine the best methods for guaranteeing due process in public administration and to foster mutual understanding and collaboration between various legal systems and cultural backgrounds. Overall, the study of the principles of natural justice and due process in EU Public Administration and in Islamic laws is a timely and important research topic. It has the potential to make a valuable addition to the field of Administrative Law and Public Administration, and to help to promote fairness and accountability in decision-making around the world.

V. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

- **Develop a Comprehensive Comparative Framework:** The research aims to establish a robust conceptual framework conducive to analyzing the intricate interplay of natural justice principles within EU Administrative Law and Islamic Jurisprudence. This framework will provide a structured approach for comparing and contrasting the interpretation, implementation, and evolution of these principles across both legal systems.

- **Explore Historical Context:** Delve into the historical contexts surrounding the evolution of natural justice principles in EU Administrative Law and Islamic Jurisprudence. By examining key historical influences, the research seeks to illuminate the factors that have shaped the development of these principles over time, providing essential background for understanding their contemporary manifestations.
- **Conduct Systematic Comparative Analysis:** Undertake a comprehensive comparative analysis to systematically dissect the application of natural justice principles in EU Administrative Law and Islamic Jurisprudence. This analysis will identify commonalities and divergences in how these principles are interpreted, implemented, and evolved within each legal framework, providing insights into their respective strengths and weaknesses.
- **Explore Case Studies:** Explore case studies from the realms of EU Administrative Law and Islamic Jurisprudence to offer concrete examples illustrating how natural justice principles manifest in real-world scenarios within each legal system. Through these case studies, the research aims to provide practical insights into the application and effectiveness of natural justice principles in diverse contexts.
- **Provide a Comprehensive Comparative Assessment:** Conduct a critical evaluation of the strengths and weaknesses inherent in the application of natural justice principles within EU Administrative Law and Islamic Jurisprudence. By identifying areas for improvement and potential avenues for convergence, this assessment aims to contribute to ongoing discourse surrounding the development and enhancement of legal frameworks governing natural justice principles.

VI. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

The research topic, Comparative Analysis of Natural Justice Principles in EU Administrative Law and Islamic Jurisprudence, aims to explore the multifaceted

dimensions of natural justice within administrative systems. The significance of the research questions lies in their alignment with the overarching research objectives, which seek to establish a comprehensive comparative framework, delve into historical contexts, conduct systematic analysis, explore case studies, and provide a comprehensive assessment. Each research question contributes to the overall aim of the comparative analysis by stimulating exploration of foundational concepts, procedural mechanisms, similarities, differences, historical and cultural influences, challenges, opportunities, best practices, and lessons learned related to the application of natural justice principles in EU Public Administration and Islamic law. By addressing these questions, researchers can gain deeper insights into how natural justice principles are understood, implemented, and evolved within these legal frameworks, ultimately contributing to the advancement of knowledge and discourse surrounding fairness and impartiality in administrative systems.

Q.1) What are the foundational concepts and principles of natural justice in EU Public Administration and Islamic law?

Q.2) How do the notions of fairness, impartiality, and proportionality manifest in the application of natural justice principles in both legal systems?

Q.3) How are the principles of natural justice incorporated into the administrative decision-making processes in the EU and in Islamic legal systems?

Q.4) What are the procedural safeguards and mechanisms in place to ensure the application of natural justice principles in administrative proceedings in both legal contexts?

Q.5) What are the key similarities and differences in the application of natural justice principles in EU Public Administration and Islamic law?

Q.6) How do the historical and cultural underpinnings of each legal system influence the interpretation and application of natural justice principles?

Q.7) What are the challenges and opportunities for harmonizing the principles of natural justice across different legal systems, including EU Public Administration and Islamic law?

Q.8) What are the best practices and lessons learned from each legal system in implementing natural justice principles effectively in administrative processes?

VII. LITERATURE REVIEW

A comparative study of the principles of natural justice (due process) in EU Public Administration and Islamic laws is a relatively nascent area of research. However, there are some notable studies that have explored specific aspects of natural justice in each legal framework. The study *Butt, J. (2023)*[9] research examines the impact of AI on administrative decision-making efficiency, considering ethical and legal implications. It examines data privacy, ethical considerations, and legal frameworks in social welfare and criminal justice systems. The aim is to provide insights into how AI can be used to ensure transparency and accountability in public administration.

The research study *Hussain, M., et. al., (2023)*[10] compares Islamic and Western law in criminal law and justice systems, focusing on commonalities, disparities, and potential intersections. Islamic law emphasizes moral principles and divine guidance, categorizing offenses into Hudud and Tazir, and promoting justice through reconciliation and social order. Western law prioritizes reason, individual rights, and legal governance, with procedural rights and presumption of innocence. The study *Cananea, G. d. (2023)*[11] explores administrative law in Europe, focusing on comparative research and two main themes: common core and methodology. It discusses the evolution of European administrative laws, the birth of the positive state, and the de-nationalization of administrative law. The book also explores the history of ideas and methodology.

[9] Butt, J. (2023), "The Impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on the Efficiency of Administrative Decision Making Including Ethical & Legal Considerations and Comparative Study about Countries Already Incorporated AI for Administrative Decisions," Acta Universitatis Danubius. Juridica, 19(3), 7–25, retrieved from https://dj.univ-danubius.ro/index.php/AUDJ/article/view/2560

[10] Hussain, M., Falak, N., Ali, S., & Qureshi, A. (2023), "Comparative Study of Islamic Law and Western Law in Criminal Law and Justice Systems," Al-Qantara, 9(3), 113, retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374082704_Comparative_Study_of_Islamic_Law_and_Western_Law_in_Criminal_Law_and_Justice_Systems

[11] Cananea, G. d. (2023), "Chapter 1: The Development of Administrative Law: Fact and Theory," in The Common Core of European Administrative Laws. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill | Nijhoff, https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004549579_002

The comparative review *Ahmadi, A., et. al., (2023)*[12] of natural law theory and legal positivism theory between Islamic law and conventional law reveals that natural law theory is highly relevant to Islamic law, while legal positivism theory prioritizes law and distinguishes it from morals and justice. Both theories require legal transformation in the current era, while conventional law is a modern legal theory closely related to legal positivism theory. The study *Lohse, E. J. (2023)*[13] reveals that sustainable development primarily focuses on the green leg, with environmental protection laws and the right to a healthy environment being key components. Balancing ecological with social and economic interests is crucial, especially in the Global South. Participation should be attributed to local communities, enhancing acceptance and sustainable decisions. Rights-based approaches, allowing trans-individual action, have a positive impact on sustainable development.

The study *Ahmed, D., et. al., (2023)*[14] examines the interaction between Islam and rights in court cases in Egypt and Pakistan. It demonstrates how modernist judges interpret Islamic supremacy clauses to protect citizens' rights and develop new rights, while also highlighting instances where the Islamic content can erode freedom of expression and religion. The chapter argues that a political pursuit of Islam doesn't necessarily impede rights if institutional measures are in place, including independent courts, religious authorities, and a state that can challenge extreme interpretations.

This chapter *Patrin, M. (2023)*[15] explores collegiality in the context of the European Court of Justice (CJEU), highlighting its legal implications and enforceability, and its evolution as a fundamental principle of EU law. It asserts collegiality serves as an

[12] Ahmadi, A., & Imam Abdi Anantomo Uke, L. (2023), "Theory of Natural Law and Legal Positivism: A Comparative Review of Islamic Law and Conventional Law," International Journal of Transdisciplinary Knowledge, 1(1), 13–33, https://doi.org/10.31332/ijtk.v1i1.4

[13] Lohse, E. J. (2023), "Chapter 3 Comparative Administrative Law Perspectives – Europe, Latin-America, Africa," in Sustainability through Participation?. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill | Nijhoff, https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004509382_005

[14] Ahmed, D., & Abbasi, M. Z. (2023), "Islamic Supremacy Clauses and Rights: Islamic Review in Practice," in Democracy Under God (Part III), pp. 153–184, published online by Cambridge University Press, retrieved from https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/abs/democracy-under-god/islamic-supremacy-clauses-and-rights/2ADDC56436E02D1D58AF1265B53E53D6

[15] Patrin, M. (2023), "The Legal Nature of the Principle of Collegiality: What Kind of Principle?," in Collegiality in the European Commission (online ed.). Oxford, https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198873723.003.0004

administrative law principle and institutional principle. The study *Balala, M. H. (2023)*[16] discusses the lack of rule of law in Muslim-majority nations, arguing that it's due to power dynamics and political immaturity. It criticizes corruption and lack of transparency, hindering civil society development. The conclusion advocates for a shift towards implementing rule of law, emphasizing the application of legal principles to reclaim Islam's past glory.

The study *Almahfali, M., et. al., (2023)*[17] suggests that the scholarly discourse on human rights in Islamic religious texts is based on narrow interpretations, focusing on Alghazali's five fundamental values and Western hegemonic ambitions. The focus should be on developing a human rights discourse that transcends the polarizing dichotomy of Islam and contemporary societies. This study *Lenaerts, K., et. al., (2023)*[18] discusses EU procedural law, focusing on the judicial protection system within the European Union, the relationship between Union Courts and national courts, and the interaction between Union law and national procedural frameworks. The paper *Butt, J. (2023)*[19] examines the role of bureaucracy in promoting democracy, equality, good governance, and social justice in Europe. It examines its strengths and challenges, identifying areas for improvement and providing recommendations for reforms to enhance bureaucracies' effectiveness.

The study *Huchhanavar, S. S. (2023)*[20] emphasizes the importance of robust legal frameworks in regulating judicial administration, highlighting the detrimental effects of asymmetrical conceptual arrangements. It highlights a significant accountability deficit in India and the UK, and advocates for a reevaluation of regulatory regimes to

[16] Balala, M. H. (2023), "Islam and the Rule of Law," International Studies Journal (ISJ), 20(2), 21-50, https://doi.org/10.22034/ISJ.2023.411015.2053
[17] Almahfali, M., & Avery, H. (2023), "Human Rights from an Islamic Perspective: A Critical Review of Arabic Peer-Reviewed Articles," Social Sciences, 12(2), 106, https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci12020106
[18] Lenaerts, K., Gutman, K., & Nowak, J. T. (2023). General Introduction. In EU Procedural Law (2nd ed., online ed.). Oxford. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198833086.003.0001
[19] Butt, J. (2023). The Role of Bureaucracy in Europe for Promoting Democracy, Equality, Good Governance and Social Justice. Acta Universitatis Danubius. Administratio, 15(1), 7–20. Retrieved from https://dj.univ-danubius.ro/index.php/AUDA/article/view/2585
[20] Huchhanavar, S. S. (2023). Conceptualising Judicial Independence and Accountability from a Regulatory Perspective. Oslo Law Review, 9(2), 110–148. https://doi.org/10.18261/olr.9.2.3

ensure effectiveness and autonomy. The paper *Munir, A. I. (2023)*[21] explores the role of due process in the US and English legal systems, as well as the Islamic legal system. It explores the Shariah's perspective on due process, revealing that life, liberty, and property are considered sacrosanct and safeguarded and also evaluates the right to fair trial, investigation, self-incrimination protection, counsel representation, and appeals, further emphasizes the importance of interpreting these rights in accordance with Shariah and Islamic Jurisprudence principles.

The study *LawBirdie. (2024)*[22] explores that due process is a constitutional norm in the United States that ensures individuals cannot be deprived of life, liberty, and property. It is essential in court proceedings and is crucial for predicting events and ensuring fairness in the criminal justice system. The study *Redish, M. H. (2024)*[23] examines procedural due process guarantees in American democracy, arguing they are rooted in American political theory. It advocates for judicial neutrality and independence, limits courts' ability to appoint representatives, and proposes reforms to modern civil procedure and constitutional law.

The study *Halliday, S. (2024)*[24] explores the importance of administrative law in society, focusing on procedural fairness in government operations and argues that public perceptions of good process may undermine governmental legitimacy. Hence, the above review of literature provides a comprehensive overview of the comparative study of natural justice principles in EU Public Administration and Islamic laws, shedding light on various aspects of procedural fairness and administrative law. Through analyses of diverse research studies, it becomes evident that while this area of inquiry is still emerging, significant strides have been made in understanding the nuances and implications of due process, transparency, and accountability in both

[21] Munir, A. I. (2023, July 29). The Doctrine of Due Process in Islamic Law: An Introduction. Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4525309 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4525309
[22] LawBirdie. (2024, January 29). Due Process: Impact and Examples. https://lawbirdie.com/due-process-impact-and-examples/
[23] Redish, M. H. (2024). Due Process As American Democracy (online ed.). New York. Oxford Academic. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780197747414.001.0001
[24] Halliday, S. (2024, February 21). What do ordinary people mean by procedural fairness (and why should we care)? Retrieved from https://www.strath.ac.uk/humanities/lawschool/blog/whatdoordinarypeoplemeanbyproceduralfairnessandwhyshouldwecare/

legal frameworks. From considerations of AI's impact on administrative decision-making to explorations of sustainable development and human rights discourses, the literature underscores the multifaceted nature of administrative law and its vital role in shaping governance, democracy, and societal progress. As scholars continue to delve deeper into these complex issues, it becomes increasingly clear that a nuanced understanding of procedural fairness is essential for ensuring effective, legitimate, and responsive governance in an ever-evolving global landscape.

VIII. HISTORICAL FOUNDATIONS

The concept of due process of law has complex history, dating back to primordial legal systems and originated in ancient Rome, generally refers to the legal procedures that must be followed to guarantee that people are treated fairly and impartially by the government. These principles were further developed in common law systems and were eventually incorporated into the legal framework of the European Union. The detailed historical development of the principles are as:-

A. Latin Maxims

There are two main Latin maxims that encapsulate the principles of natural justice:

- **Nemo Judex In Causa Sua "No man a judge in his own cause" -** This maxim emphasizes the principle of impartiality and the need for an unbiased decision-maker. It implies that no one should be allowed to make decisions that affect their own interests or those of their close associates.
- **Audi Alteram Partem "hear the other side" -** The maxim emphasizes the significance of a fair hearing, stating that every individual should have the chance to present their case and respond to the arguments presented before a decision is made.

B. Development of Principles of Natural Justice (Due Process)

The natural justice principles, also known as due process, are fundamental legal principles that underpin fair and just administrative action. These principles have a

long and rich history in European legal systems, dating back to ancient Greece and Rome: -

a) Ancient Greece

In ancient Greece, the concept of natural justice was rooted in the idea of dike, which represented the cosmic order and the inherent fairness of the universe. This concept was further developed by philosophers such as Plato and Aristotle, who argued that there were certain fundamental principles of justice that were universally applicable.

b) Roman Law

The Romans also developed a sophisticated system of law that incorporated natural justice principles. These principles stood reflected in the concept of **ius naturale**[25], which was considered to be a universal law that applied to all people. Ius naturale was distinguished from **ius civile**[26], which was the law of the Roman state.

c) Medieval Europe

During the Middle Ages, the doctrines were further developed by Christian theologians and legal scholars. These thinkers argued that natural law was derived from God's will and that it was a source of higher authority than human laws.

d) Enlightenment Era:

The principles of natural justice gained prominence during the Enlightenment era in Europe. Thinkers such as John Locke and Montesquieu advocated for the safeguard of individual rights and the importance of fair procedures in legal systems. Their ideas influenced the development of legal systems in Europe, including the principles of natural justice.

e) Early Modern Europe

In the early modern period, the natural justice principles were used by philosophers and jurists to challenge the absolute power of monarchs. They argued that rulers were

[25] Thomas Aquinas. (1265-1274). Summa Theologiae: Treatise on Law. New Advent: The Catholic Encyclopedia. Retrieved from https://www.newadvent.org/summa/2093.htm
[26] Ulpian. (3rd century AD). Digesta (Pandects). Retrieved from The Roman Law Library website: http://droitromain.upmf-grenoble.fr/English/Texts/ULP1.htm

subject to the law of nature and that they could not arbitrarily deprive their subjects of their rights.

f) The Magna Carta[27]

The Magna Carta Libertatum, was a royal charter of rights signed by King John of England in 1215. It was drafted by Cardinal Stephen Langton to establish peace between King John and rebel barons. The charter promised protection of church rights, barons from illegal imprisonment, swift justice, and limitations on feudal payments to the Crown, to be implemented by a council of 25 barons. The Magna Carta is an important historical document[28] that has shaped the legal systems of many countries around the world and considered a landmark document in the development of due process of law. A total of 63 clauses were in the original Magna Carta, but many of them have been revoked or are no longer pertinent in today's world, leaving only four clauses that are still in effect. The four clauses that are considered to be the most important clauses of Magna Carta, **Clause 1** guarantees the freedom of the church, while **Clause 13** prohibits illegal arrest and imprisonment. **Clause 39** guarantees the right to a fair trial, and **Clause 40** guarantees the right to justice. These clauses ensure that no one will be sold, denied, or delayed in their rights or justice. These four clauses had a profound impact on the development of English law and democracy.

g) Development of Due Process

Due process, first introduced in the 17th and 18th centuries, protects individuals from arbitrary government actions. Originating in the English common law system, it outlines procedures the government must follow before denying life, liberty, or property.

h) European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR), 1950[29]:

[27] Magna Carta (1215). Retrieved from https://www.archives.gov/exhibits/featured-documents/magna-carta

[28] Magna Carta (1215) original Script. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Magna_Carta#/media/File:Magna_Carta_(British_Library_Cotton_MS_Augustus_II.106).jpg

[29] Council of Europe. (1950). Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms. Retrieved from https://www.refworld.org/docid/3ae6b3b04.html

The European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR), adopted in 1950, is a crucial legal instrument for European human rights protection. It guarantees a fair trial and incorporates natural justice principles like the right to be heard and an impartial tribunal. The ECHR significantly influences EU law and natural justice principles.

i) European Court of Justice (ECJ)[30]:

The ECJ, established in 1952, is the highest court in matters of EU law. It has played a vital role in the development and interpretation of the principles of natural justice within EU Public Administration. The ECJ has issued numerous judgments that emphasize the importance of due process of law.

j) EU Treaties and Directives:

The Treaties on European Union (TEU) and the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) form the legal basis for EU Public Administration, outlining principles and procedural safeguards to ensure natural justice in administrative proceedings.

IX. NATURAL JUSTICE (DUE PROCESS) IN EUROPEAN LAWS

The EU Public Administration upholds principles of natural justice through judicial review and oversight mechanisms. Individuals can seek redress through national courts, which can refer cases to the ECJ for further interpretation. EU institutions like the European Ombudsman monitor and ensure compliance with these principles. While EU treaties do not explicitly mention natural justice, they uphold fairness, due process, and protection of fundamental rights, contributing to the overall framework of natural justice within the EU legal system.

a) Treaty on European Union (TEU)[31]:

The TEU establishes the overall framework and objectives of the EU. It includes provisions that uphold the principles of democracy, the rule of law, and respect for

[30] European Court of Justice (ECJ). (January 2023). History of the European Court of Justice. Retrieved from https://www.ecj.europa.eu/about/history/index_en.html

[31] Treaty on European Union (TEU). Consolidated version of the Treaty on European Union. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:12012M/TXT

fundamental rights. These principles are essential elements of natural justice and ensure fairness and procedural safeguards in EU decision-making processes.

Article 2: This article establishes the values upon which the EU is founded, including respect for human dignity, freedom, democracy, equality, the rule of law, and respect for human rights. These values provide a foundation for the protection of due process rights.

b) Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU)[32]:

The TFEU is the main economic treaty of the EU. While its primary focus is on economic matters, it includes provisions that are relevant to natural justice. For example:

Article 19: This article requires the EU institutions to act in accordance with the principles of sound administration, which encompasses principles such as impartiality, fairness, and proportionality.

Article 296: This article requires that EU institutions act impartially and in accordance with the principles of good administration. It ensures that administrative decisions are made fairly and in compliance with the principles of natural justice.

c) The Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union[33]:

The Charter is a legally binding document that sets out the fundamental rights recognized within the EU legal framework. It includes several rights that are consistent with the principles of natural justice, such as:

Article 41: This article guarantees the right to good administration, including the principles of legality, impartiality, proportionality, and transparency.

[32] Consolidated version of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union [TFEU] (2016). Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A12012E/TXT

[33] Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A12012P/TXT

Article 47: This article guarantees the right to a fair and impartial trial, including the right to be heard, the right to an impartial tribunal, and the right to effective judicial review.

Article 48: This article prohibits any form of discrimination based on various grounds, echoing the non-discrimination principle in Article 48 of the TFEU.

Article 49: This article guarantees the presumption of innocence and the right to defense in criminal proceedings.

d) European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR), 1950[34]:

The ECHR, adopted by the Council of Europe in 1950, is a regional human rights treaty that protects fundamental rights in Europe. The crux of Article 6 is the principle that everyone is entitled to a fair and public hearing within a reasonable time by an independent and impartial tribunal established by law. Here are the key elements of Article 6:

- **Right to a fair trial**
- **Public hearing**
- **Independent and impartial tribunal**
- **Reasonable time**
- **Equality of arms**
- **Presumption of innocence**
- **Right to legal representation**

e) EU Directives

The European Union (EU) has adopted several directives that incorporate the principles of natural justice. These directives aim to ensure that individuals within the

[34] European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) (1950). Retrieved from https://www.echr.coe.int/documents/convention_eng.pdf:

EU are treated fairly and impartially in all legal proceedings. Key Directives on Natural Justice are as:-

Directive 2010/64/EU[35] This directive is about the right to interpretation and translation in criminal proceedings, this directive guarantees the availability of these services to suspects and accused parties who do not speak the language of the proceedings.

Directive 2011/93/EU[36] on combating child sexual abuse and exploitation and replacing Council Framework Decision 2001/220/JHA: The directive establishes a comprehensive framework for preventing and combating child sexual abuse and exploitation, ensuring victims have access to effective legal remedies and assistance.

Directive 2012/13/EU[37] This directive guarantees the right of suspects and accused persons to access information about their case, including the charges against them, the evidence, and the names of the investigators and prosecutors.

Directive 2013/48/EU[38] This directive sets out the right of individuals to have access to a lawyer when facing criminal charges. It emphasizes the importance of legal representation and the right to effective assistance of a lawyer, contributing to fair and just proceedings.

Directive 2016/343/EU[39] This directive upholds the presumption of innocence and the right of individuals to be present during their trial, ensuring they are treated innocent until proven guilty.

---

[35] Directive 2010/64/EU. (2010). Directive on the right to interpretation and translation in criminal proceedings, 2010/64/EU. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32010L0064

[36] Directive 2011/93/EU. (2011). Directive on combating the sexual abuse and sexual exploitation of children and child pornography, 2011/93/EU. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32011L0093

[37] Directive 2012/13/EU. (2012). Directive on the right to information in criminal proceedings, 2012/13/EU. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32012L0013

[38] Directive 2013/48/EU. (2013). Directive on the right of access to a lawyer in criminal proceedings, 2013/48/EU. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32013L0048

[39] Directive 2016/343/EU. (2016). Directive on the presumption of innocence and the right to be present at the trial, 2016/343/EU. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32016L0343

Directive 2016/1914/EU[40] The directive outlines regulations for personal data protection, including the right to be informed about processing, access data, and rectify inaccurate information.

Directive 2000/31/EC[41] This directive facilitates the recovery of unlawful pecuniary loss in cross-border civil and commercial cases.

The overarching principles of EU law, such as the principles of legality, proportionality, and good administration, provide a strong foundation for upholding natural justice in EU Public Administration.

f) Decisions of European Administrative Courts

Golder v. United Kingdom (1975)[42] A fair trial, which includes the idea of natural justice, is a fundamental human right that is safeguarded by Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights. The case highlighted the significance of granting people the ability to consult with solicitors and the opportunity to question witnesses. ***Soering v. United Kingdom (1989)***[43] The European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR) ruled that the United Kingdom had violated the European Convention on Human Rights by extraditing a German man to the United States to face trial for a crime that could have resulted in the death penalty. The ECtHR found that the extradition had been unfair because the man had not been given a fair hearing before the extradition was ordered. ***France v Commission (1991)***[44] The ECJ held that the Commission had breached the principle of reasoning by failing to give adequate reasons for its decision.

[40] Directive 2016/1914/EU. (2016). Directive on the European Investigation Order in criminal matters, 2016/1914/EU. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32016L1914

[41] Directive 2000/31/EC. (2000). Directive on electronic commerce, 2000/31/EC. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32000L0031

[42] Golder v United Kingdom, Judgment, Merits and Just Satisfaction, App No 4451/70, A/18, [1975] ECHR 1, (1979) 1 EHRR 524, IHRL 9 (ECHR 1975), 21st February 1975, European Court of Human Rights [ECHR] Retrieved from https://opil.ouplaw.com/display/10.1093/law:ihrl/9echr75.case.1/law-ihrl-9echr75

[43] https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld200304/ldjudgmt/jd040617/ullah-3.htm#:~:text=The%20effect%20of%20the%20decision,real%20risk%20of%20proscribed%20treatment.

[44] French Republic v Commission of the European Communities. Competition in the markets in telecommunications terminals equipment. Case C-202/88. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A61988CJ0202

Italy v Commission (2005)[45] The ECJ held that the Commission had breached the principle of proportionality by imposing a disproportionate penalty on Italy. ***Al-Khawaja and Tahery v. the United Kingdom (2011)***[46] The ECtHR emphasized the significance of fair trial guarantees, including the right to confront and examine witnesses against the accused, and ruled that the admission of anonymous hearsay evidence without cross-examination violated natural justice principles.

X. NATURAL JUSTICE (DUE PROCESS) IN INTERNATIONAL LAWS

Due process of law is regarded by international law as an essential component of justice and human rights. International due process rights are established and safeguarded by numerous international treaties and legal instruments. Here are a few important international treaties and statutes pertaining to due process of law:

Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR)[47]**:** The UDHR is a foundational document that sets out a broad range of civil, political, economic, social, and cultural rights adopted by the United Nations General Assembly in 1948.

International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR)[48]**:** The ICCPR, adopted by the United Nations General Assembly in 1966, is a legally binding treaty guarantees that everyone is entitled to equality before the courts and other bodies. Everyone has the right to a fair and public hearing before a qualified, unbiased, and independent tribunal that has been created by law in order to determine the outcome of any criminal charges against him as well as his rights and obligations in a lawsuit.

International due process extends beyond treaties and includes customary international law, the International Law Commission (ILC), international tribunals

[45] Italian Republic v Commission of the European Communities. Action for annulment - State aid - Decision 2002/581/EC. Retrieved from https://curia.europa.eu/juris/liste.jsf?language=en&num=C-66/02

[46] Al-Khawaja and Tahery v United Kingdom – 26766/05 [2011] ECHR 2127 (15 December 2011). Retrieved from https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/app/conversion/pdf/?library=ECHR&id=001-108072&filename=001-108072.pdf

[47] Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) (1948). Retrieved from https://www.un.org/en/documents/udhr/: https://www.un.org/en/documents/udhr/.

[48] International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966). Retrieved from https://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/ccpr.aspx

and courts, and enforcement mechanisms. Customary international law is unwritten rules established by states, requiring fair procedures in certain situations like investment disputes or territorial disagreements. The ILC's work on identifying violations of customary international law can indirectly contribute to the development of due process standards. International tribunals and courts, like the International Court of Justice (ICJ), interpret and apply existing treaties and customary law, setting precedents for due process rights in specific areas.

Enforcement mechanisms exist to hold states accountable for violating due process rights, such as inter-state complaints, human rights reporting and monitoring, and international public opinion. Challenges and limitations include state sovereignty, selective compliance, and limited enforcement mechanisms. However, the concept of international due process is evolving due to growing emphasis on human rights, the rise of international actors, and the development of new enforcement mechanisms. Examples of international due process in action include individuals facing trial before the International Criminal Court (ICC) being guaranteed specific due process rights, including the right to be presumed innocent and the right to legal representation. In investment treaty disputes, international tribunals may assess whether states have breached due process obligations owed to foreign investors.

A. Decisions Of International Courts Regarding Principles of Natural Justice

International court case law has played a significant role in shaping and interpreting the principles of natural justice (due process) in various legal contexts. Here are a few notable international court cases that have addressed and established principles related to natural justice: ***Tadic (1997)***[49] The International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY) held that the right to a fair trial, including the principles of natural justice, must be upheld in international criminal proceedings. The court emphasized the right to be informed promptly and in detail of the charges against the accused, the right to have adequate time to prepare a defense, and the right to examine

[49] Tadic (1997). ICTY Case No. IT-94-1-T. Retrieved from https://www.asylumlawdatabase.eu/sites/default/files/aldfiles/Prosecutor%20v%20Tadic%20%28IT-94-1-AR72%29%20ICTY.pdf

witnesses. ***Lubanga (2012)***[50] The International Criminal Court (ICC) reaffirmed the principles of natural justice by emphasizing the right to a fair and impartial trial. The court held that the prosecution has a duty to disclose all material evidence to the defense in a timely manner to ensure a fair trial.

Armed Activities on the Territory of the Congo (Congo v. Uganda) (2005)[51] The International Court of Justice (ICJ) highlighted the principles of natural justice in its judgment by affirming the right to a fair trial and the prohibition of arbitrary detention. The court held that the detention of individuals without charge or trial violated the principles of natural justice and international law. ***Prosecutor v. Furundzija (1998)***[52] The International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY) case of Prosecutor v. Furundzija addressed the prohibition of torture and the right to a fair trial. The court held that the use of torture violates the principles of natural justice, including the right to be free from cruel, inhuman, or degrading treatment.

Avena and Other Mexican Nationals (Mexico v. United States of America) (2004)[53] The International Court of Justice (ICJ) case of Avena and Other Mexican Nationals addressed the rights of foreign nationals facing the death penalty in the United States. The court held that the United States had violated the principles of natural justice by failing to inform arrested individuals of their rights to consular assistance under the Vienna Convention on Consular Relations. The International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia (ICTY) and International Criminal Court of the Congo (ICC) have all made significant decisions in the pursuit of justice. The ICTY's Tadic case highlighted the importance of a fair trial in international criminal proceedings, emphasizing the right to timely information, adequate defense preparation, and the

[50] Lubanga (2012). ICC-01/04-01/06. Retrieved from https://www.gojil.eu/issues/52/52_article_kurth.pdf
[51] Armed Activities on the Territory of the Congo (Congo v. Uganda) (2005). ICJ Reports 2005. Retrieved from https://icj-cij.org/case/116
[52] Prosecutor v. Furundzija (1998). ICTY Case No. IT-95-17/1. Retrieved from https://www.icty.org/en/press/furundzija-case-judgement-trial-chamber-anto-furundzija-found-guilty-both-charges-and
[53] Avena and Other Mexican Nationals (Mexico v. United States of America) (2004). ICJ Reports 2004. Retrieved from https://www.icj-cij.org/case/128

right to examine witnesses. The ICC's Lubanga case reaffirmed natural justice principles, emphasizing the prosecution's duty to disclose all material evidence.

The ICJ's Congo v. Uganda case reinforced the fundamental right to a fair trial and condemned arbitrary detention as a violation of natural justice and international law. The ICTY's Prosecutor v. Furundzija case addressed the prohibition of torture and its incompatibility with natural justice principles, emphasizing the importance of respecting human dignity and ethical standards in legal practices. The ICJ's Avena and Other Mexican Nationals case highlighted the rights of foreign nationals facing the death penalty, emphasizing the importance of consular assistance and notification of rights under international treaties.

B. Natural Justice (Due Process) In Islam

The principles of natural justice, including due process, are not explicitly mentioned in the Quran as a specific legal concept. However, Islamic jurisprudence and principles derived from the Quran and Hadith (sayings and actions of Prophet Muhammad) uphold principles of fairness, justice, and procedural safeguards. Here are some Quranic verses and general principles that relate to these concepts:

Fairness and Justice:

Quran 4:135 "O you who have believed, be persistently standing firm in justice, witnesses for Allah, even if it be against yourselves or parents and relatives. Whether one is rich or poor, Allah is more worthy of both. So follow not [personal] inclination, lest you not be just. And if you distort [your testimony] or refuse [to give it], then indeed Allah is ever, with what you do, Acquainted."

Quran 16:90 "Indeed, Allah commands justice and good conduct and giving to relatives and forbids immorality and bad conduct and oppression. He admonishes you that perhaps you will be reminded."

Quran 49:9 "O you who believe, if a disputer comes to you with a matter, investigate justly and fairly, and do not let your (personal) inclination to injure one

party prevent you from doing justice. Surely, Allah is All-Knowing, All-Aware."

Procedural Safeguards:

Quran 2:282 "O you who have believed, when you contract a debt for a specified term, write it down. And let a scribe write [it] between you in justice."

Quran 58:12 "O you who have believed, when you [wish to] privately consult the Messenger, present before your consultation a charity. That is better for you and purer. But if you find not [the means] - then indeed, Allah is Forgiving and Merciful."

Quran 5:8 "O you who believe, be upright for Allah, witnesses to justice, even if against yourselves, or your parents, or your kin. Whether rich or poor, Allah is nearer to both. So do not follow the passion of low desires so that you may deviate from justice. And if you pervert or conceal testimony, then surely Allah is knowing of what you do."

Quran 16:115 "Surely, Allah enjoins justice, the doing of good, and giving to kin, and forbids evil and bad conduct and transgression. He admonishes you so that you may take heed."

These verses emphasize the importance of treating all parties involved in a legal matter with fairness and impartiality. They also highlight the need to conduct a thorough investigation before making a judgment.

C. Key Principles Of Natural Justice In Islamic Law

The key principles of natural justice that are recognized and applied in Islamic law include:

- **Right to be Heard:** Individuals have the right to be informed of the allegations against them and to be given an opportunity to present their case effectively.
- **Impartiality:** Judges and decision-makers must be impartial and free from any bias that could affect their judgment.

- **Right to Access Information:** Individuals have the right to access relevant information and evidence that may affect their case.
- **Fair Procedure:** Legal and administrative proceedings must follow a fair and transparent procedure that allows for the presentation of evidence and arguments.
- **Right to Effective Remedies:** Individuals have the right to challenge decisions through effective judicial review mechanisms.

D. Islam Rulings about The Principles Of Natural Justice (Due Process)

Numerous examples from Islamic legal history demonstrate the application of natural justice principles in actual cases. Here are a few notable instances. **The Case of *Sa'd ibn Abi Waqqas*[54]** Sa'd ibn Abi Waqqas was accused of stealing a suit of armor. The Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him) personally investigated the matter and found Sa'd to be innocent. This case highlights the importance of providing an accused individual with the opportunity to defend themselves and to have their case investigated fairly. **The Case of *Zaynab bint Jahsh*[55]** Zaynab bint Jahsh was divorced by her husband, Zaid ibn Harithah. The Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him) intervened in the matter and reconciled the couple. This case emphasizes the importance of providing a fair and impartial forum for resolving disputes between individuals. **The Case of the *False Accuser*[56]** A woman falsely accused a man of adultery. Upon investigation, the Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him) found the woman's accusation to be false and punished her for making a false accusation. This case underscores the importance of protecting individuals from false accusations and ensuring that justice is served.

The Case of the *Missing Camel*[57] A man brought a claim against another man, alleging that the latter had stolen his camel. The accused denied the accusation, and the matter was brought before the Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him). The Prophet ordered the accuser to produce evidence to support his claim, and when he failed to

[54] Sahih al-Bukhari, Book 84, Hadith 108:
[55] Al Quran 33:37
[56] Sahih al-Bukhari, Book 8, Hadith 78:
[57] Sahih Muslim, Book 3, Hadith 1039:

do so, the Prophet ruled in favor of the accused. This case highlights the importance of requiring evidence before making a judgment. **The Case of *Disputed Inheritance*[58]** Two individuals disputed the inheritance of a deceased person. The Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him) carefully examined the evidence presented by both parties and ruled in favor of the individual who provided the most convincing evidence. This case demonstrates the importance of considering all evidence before making a decision. These cases illustrate how natural justice principles have been consistently applied in Islamic legal history to ensure fair and impartial proceedings.

E. Discussion

This section delves into the key insights and complexities arising from the comparative analysis of natural justice principles in EU Administrative Law and Islamic Jurisprudence.

- **Nuances in Defining and Interpreting Natural Justice**

Conceptual Differences: The concept of natural justice lacks a universal definition. EU Public Administration often associates it with procedural safeguards and legal interpretations, while Islamic Law links it more closely to religious principles and community norms. This variance in interpretation can lead to differences in applying natural justice principles in specific cases.

- **Secular vs. Religious Context**

Balancing Approaches: EU Public Administration operates in a secular context, emphasizing due process rights and limiting the role of religion in administrative decision-making. Conversely, Islamic Law incorporates religious considerations into its framework. This distinction influences the implementation of natural justice principles within each system.

- **Evolution and Adaptation**

Dynamic Systems: Both EU Administrative Law and Islamic Jurisprudence have evolved through time, shaped by their respective cultural and historical contexts. A

[58] Sunan an-Nasa'i, Book 13, Hadith 3908

comprehensive understanding of natural justice principles requires considering ongoing developments and adaptations in each system.

- **Avoiding Overgeneralizations**

Specificity Matters: Comparative studies should avoid broad generalizations. Focusing on specific examples and case studies helps illustrate the nuances and variations in how natural justice principles are applied within each system.

- **The Importance of Context**

Critical Lens: To ensure meaningful comparisons, it's essential to contextualize findings while acknowledging the limitations inherent in comparing legal systems with distinct origins.

- **Moving Forward:** Collaboration and Learning

By recognizing limitations, engaging in critical dialogue, and promoting cross-cultural learning, comparative studies can contribute to a richer understanding of natural justice principles across diverse legal systems. This structure organizes the key points and arguments from your original discussion into logical subheadings, making it easier for the reader to follow your analysis.

F. Findings

This analysis compared natural justice principles in EU Administrative Law and Islamic Jurisprudence, revealing both similarities and differences:

a) Similarities:

- **Shared Core Principles:** Both systems prioritize principles like fairness, impartiality, and proportionality in administrative decision-making.
- **Procedural Safeguards:** Both emphasize procedural safeguards (e.g., right to be heard) to ensure fair application of natural justice principles.
- **Promoting Responsible Governance:** Natural justice principles aim to safeguard individual rights and promote responsible governance in both systems.

b) Differences:

- **Sources of Principles:** EU draws from common law traditions, EU treaties, and court rulings. Islamic law relies on the Quran, Sunnah, and scholarly interpretations.
- **Implementation Approaches:** EU utilizes codified laws and judicial review. Islamic law relies on Sharia Law, Ijtihad, Qazi Courts, and principles like Maslahah and Istihsan.

c) Implications:

- **Challenges and Opportunities:** Harmonizing natural justice principles presents challenges due to distinct legal origins. However, opportunities exist to identify common ground, fostering dialogue and learning from each other's systems.
- **Promoting Transparency and Accountability:** Natural justice principles are crucial for transparency, accountability, and human rights respect in both legal systems.
- **Safeguarding Rights:** These principles ensure fair, impartial, and proportionate decisions, protecting individuals from discrimination.
- **Comparative Understanding:** A deeper understanding of how these principles function across different legal systems can inform the development of more equitable and inclusive administrative systems globally.

By presenting the findings in a clear and concise manner with bullet points, this summary effectively highlights the key aspects of your research.

XI. CONCLUSION

Administrative law stands as a cornerstone in modern governance, providing a framework that ensures fairness, transparency, and accountability in regulatory processes for governmental agencies. The principles of natural justice are fundamental in both EU Public Administration and Islamic laws, serving as guiding pillars for fair, impartial, and accountable administrative decisions. These principles, rooted in distinct legal and religious traditions, are essential for safeguarding individual rights

and upholding ideals of fairness, justice, and impartiality. A comparative analysis of natural justice principles in EU Public Administration and Islamic laws offers a valuable opportunity to identify shared values, common ground, and areas for potential improvement. By delving into these comparisons, legal reforms, policy-making initiatives, and academic discussions can be enriched, ultimately leading to enhanced procedural fairness, transparency, and protection of individual rights within administrative decision-making processes. However, it is imperative to approach such studies with cultural sensitivity, a deep respect for diverse legal traditions, and a commitment to rigorous methodology. Open dialogue and mutual understanding between different legal systems are crucial in advancing legal scholarship, fostering cross-cultural exchanges, and shaping fair and just legal frameworks. Through a comprehensive examination of the principles of natural justice in EU Public Administration and Islamic laws, a deeper understanding of due process can be achieved, paving the way for legal development and the establishment of a more equitable and inclusive society. This comparative study has the potential to contribute significantly to the enhancement of legal systems, the promotion of fairness, and the creation of a more just world for all individuals.

XII. LIMITATION

This research acknowledges several limitations that may have influenced the findings and conclusions:

- **Limited Comparative Studies:** While the study aimed to bridge the gap in comparative analysis, the overall body of research on natural justice principles across EU and Islamic legal systems might still be evolving. This could limit the scope of comparisons and the identification of potential areas of convergence.
- **Cultural and Contextual Nuances:** The study acknowledges the influence of cultural and contextual factors. However, a comprehensive analysis of these nuances across diverse regions within both the EU and Islamic legal spheres may require further investigation. This could potentially reveal variations in the interpretation and application of natural justice principles that weren't fully explored.

- **Case Study Selection:** The selection of case studies can shape the overall understanding. Focusing on a limited number of cases might not fully capture the complexities of how natural justice is upheld (or violated) across different administrative decision-making contexts within both legal systems.

Despite these limitations, the study employed several strategies to mitigate their impact:

- **Comprehensive Literature Review:** A thorough examination of existing literature on natural justice principles in both legal systems provided a strong foundation for the analysis.
- **Exploring Cultural and Institutional Factors:** The study acknowledged and discussed the influence of cultural, historical, and institutional settings on how natural justice principles are implemented.
- **Case Study Selection Considerations:** Selecting case studies that represent diverse administrative decision-making contexts within both legal systems aimed to provide a broader perspective.

This study highlights the need for further exploration in several areas:

- **Expanding Comparative Studies:** Conducting more in-depth comparative analyses of specific natural justice principles across diverse legal contexts within the EU and Islamic legal systems.
- **Cultural Nuances and Variations:** Investigating the influence of specific cultural and social factors on the interpretation and application of natural justice principles within regional variations of both legal systems.
- **Case Study Focus:** Conducting detailed case studies that explore specific challenges and successes related to upholding natural justice principles in different administrative decision-making processes.

By acknowledging and addressing these limitations, this research contributes to the ongoing dialogue on natural justice principles. It underscores the importance of

further exploration and emphasizes the potential for fruitful collaboration between scholars working within the domains of EU and Islamic legal systems.

XIII. RECOMMENDATIONS

- **Harmonizing Legal Origins:**
    - Explore opportunities to bridge the gap between legal origins by identifying common ground and fostering dialogue between EU Administrative Law and Islamic Jurisprudence.
- **Promoting Transparency:**
    - Emphasize the importance of transparency and accountability in administrative decision-making processes within both legal systems.
- **Enhancing Comparative Understanding:**
    - Conduct more in-depth comparative analyses to understand how natural justice principles function across diverse legal contexts within the EU and Islamic legal systems.
- **Cultural Sensitivity:**
    - Approach studies with cultural sensitivity and respect for diverse legal traditions to enrich legal reforms and policy-making initiatives.
- **Case Study Selection:**
    - Expand the selection of case studies to capture a broader perspective of how natural justice principles are upheld or violated in different administrative decision-making contexts.
- **Exploring Cultural Nuances:**
    - Investigate the influence of specific cultural and social factors on the interpretation and application of natural justice principles within regional variations of both legal systems.
- **Further Collaboration:**

- Encourage collaboration between scholars working within the domains of EU Administrative Law and Islamic Jurisprudence to advance legal scholarship and shape fair and just legal frameworks.

By implementing these recommendations, you can contribute to the ongoing dialogue on natural justice principles and enhance the development of more equitable and inclusive administrative systems globally.

XIV. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author expresses his sincere gratitude to the learned editorial board for their invaluable support during the research publication process. The author also express their deepest gratitude to their late father, ***Mr. Abdul Sattar Butt***, for his unwavering guidance and encouragement throughout the journey. His wisdom, patience, and dedication have been a constant source of inspiration, shaping the author into the person he is today. The author is profoundly grateful her mother ***Mst. Nighat Firdous*** for her endless support and her sacrifices and lessons, and for her love and devotion, which have been a beacon of strength. Her presence in the author's life is a blessing beyond measure.

A. About Author

The Author Junaid Sattar BUTT, LL.M (AHC), is a highly qualified legal professional with a diverse educational background. The author holds a (2Y) Master of Laws degree from the University of Lahore, Pakistan, after completing a (3Y) Bachelor's degree in Law, specializing in Comparative Laws, International Laws, Criminal Procedural Laws, Constitutional Laws, and Administrative Laws. The author also holds a Master's degree in Business Administration from the Virtual University of Pakistan and a Master's degree in Political Science from University of the Punjab, Pakistan. With this rich educational background, the author brings a multidisciplinary approach to his research and legal practice. His research interests primarily lie in the areas of International Laws, Criminal Procedural Laws, Administrative Laws, Environmental Laws, Constitutional and Comparative Laws. The Author is committed to exploring and analyzing the complexities of these legal domains, contributing to the development of legal scholarship and promoting justice and

fairness. Professionally, Mag. Butt serves as an Advocate High Court at AJ&K (Pakistan Occupied Azad Jammu and Kashmir), where he actively practice in the administration of justice and ensures the proper implementation of legal procedures. Additionally, the author holds the position of Law Officer at Malik Law Associates, where he provides legal advice and expertise to clients, advocating for their rights and interests. With his extensive knowledge and experience, Mag. Junaid Sattar Butt, LL.M (AHC), is dedicated to making a positive impact in the legal field and continues to engage in research, legal practice, and professional collaborations, striving to contribute to the advancement of legal knowledge and the effective implementation of legal principles.

B. Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and / or publication of this article.

XV. REFERENCES

1. Master of Laws (LL.M), Postgraduate Institute of Law, the University of Lahore, AHC, Member District Bar Association Kotli, AJK Bar Council, Muzaffarabad, Pakistan Occupied Jammu & Kashmir, PAKISTAN. Corresponding Author: junaidsattarbutt@yahoo.com, Cell: +92 333 427 0989. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0000-0530-962X
2. Erman, Ö. (2024, April 2). Due Process in the Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard. Duggal Kabir A.N. (Ed.). Retrieved from https://jusmundi.com/en/document/publication/en-due-process-in-fet
3. Smith, J. (2023). The principle of audi alteram partem in administrative law. In J. Jones (Ed.), Administrative law: A comparative perspective (pp. 123-145). Oxford University Press.
4. Smith, J. (2023). The principle of nemo judex in causa sua in administrative law. In J. Jones (Ed.), Administrative law: A comparative perspective (pp. 146-167). Oxford University Press.

5. Zedner, L., & Stuckenberg, C.-F. (2020). Due Process. In K. Ambos, A. Duff, J. Roberts, T. Weigend, & A. Heinze (Eds.), Core Concepts in Criminal Law and Criminal Justice: Volume I (pp. 304–342). chapter, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Retrieved from https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/abs/core-concepts-in-criminal-law-and-criminal-justice/due-process/DB991C16B8CC45DB4CB5D60105CC34ED

6. Sungkar, Z. H. (2024). Foundations and Principles: An Introduction to Islamic Jurisprudence & A Brief Comparison to American Jurisprudence. Seton Hall University eRepository @ Seton Hall. Student Works Seton Hall Law. Retrieved from https://scholarship.shu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2446&context=student_scholarship

7. Irwin, T. (2024, February 13). Administrative Law: Understanding Regulations and Governing Entities. Retrieved from https://www.lexinter.net/law/administrative

8. Butt, J. (2023). The Impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on the Efficiency of Administrative Decision Making Including Ethical & Legal Considerations and Comparative Study about Countries Already Incorporated AI for Administrative Decisions. Acta Universitatis Danubius. Juridica, 19(3), 7–25. Retrieved from https://dj.univ-danubius.ro/index.php/AUDJ/article/view/2560

9. Hussain, M., Falak, N., Ali, S., & Qureshi, A. (2023). Comparative Study of Islamic Law and Western Law in Criminal Law and Justice Systems. Al-Qantara, 9(3), 113. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/374082704_Comparative_Study_of_Islamic_Law_and_Western_Law_in_Criminal_Law_and_Justice_Systems

10. Cananea, G. d. (2023). "Chapter 1: The Development of Administrative Law: Fact and Theory". In The Common Core of European Administrative Laws. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill | Nijhoff. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004549579_002

11. Ahmadi, A., & Imam Abdi Anantomo Uke, L. (2023). Theory of Natural Law and Legal Positivism: A Comparative Review of Islamic Law and Conventional Law.

International Journal of Transdisciplinary Knowledge, 1(1), 13–33. https://doi.org/10.31332/ijtk.v1i1.4

12. Lohse, E. J. (2023). "Chapter 3 Comparative Administrative Law Perspectives – Europe, Latin-America, Africa". In Sustainability through Participation?. Leiden, The Netherlands: Brill | Nijhoff. https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004509382_005
13. Ahmed, D., & Abbasi, M. Z. (2023). Islamic Supremacy Clauses and Rights: Islamic Review in Practice (pp. 153–184). In Democracy Under God (Part III). Published online by Cambridge University Press. Retrieved from https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/abs/democracy-under-god/islamic-supremacy-clauses-and-rights/2ADDC56436E02D1D58AF1265B53E53D6
14. Patrin, M. (2023). The Legal Nature of the Principle of Collegiality: What Kind of Principle? In Collegiality in the European Commission (online ed.). Oxford. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198873723.003.0004
15. Balala, M. H. (2023). Islam and the Rule of Law. International Studies Journal (ISJ), 20(2), 21-50. https://doi.org/10.22034/ISJ.2023.411015.2053
16. Almahfali, M., & Avery, H. (2023). Human Rights from an Islamic Perspective: A Critical Review of Arabic Peer-Reviewed Articles. Social Sciences, 12(2), 106. https://doi.org/10.3390/socsci12020106
17. Lenaerts, K., Gutman, K., & Nowak, J. T. (2023). General Introduction. In EU Procedural Law (2nd ed., online ed.). Oxford. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198833086.003.0001
18. Butt, J. (2023). The Role of Bureaucracy in Europe for Promoting Democracy, Equality, Good Governance and Social Justice. Acta Universitatis Danubius. Administratio, 15(1), 7–20. Retrieved from https://dj.univ-danubius.ro/index.php/AUDA/article/view/2585
19. Huchhanavar, S. S. (2023). Conceptualising Judicial Independence and Accountability from a Regulatory Perspective. Oslo Law Review, 9(2), 110–148. https://doi.org/10.18261/olr.9.2.3

20. Munir, A. I. (2023, July 29). The Doctrine of Due Process in Islamic Law: An Introduction. Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=4525309 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4525309

21. LawBirdie. (2024, January 29). Due Process: Impact and Examples. https://lawbirdie.com/due-process-impact-and-examples/

22. Redish, M. H. (2024). Due Process As American Democracy (online ed.). New York. Oxford Academic. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780197747414.001.0001

23. Halliday, S. (2024, February 21). What do ordinary people mean by procedural fairness (and why should we care)? Retrieved from https://www.strath.ac.uk/humanities/lawschool/blog/whatdoordinarypeoplemeanbyproceduralfairnessandwhyshouldwecare/

24. Thomas Aquinas. (1265-1274). Summa Theologiae: Treatise on Law. New Advent: The Catholic Encyclopedia. Retrieved from https://www.newadvent.org/summa/2093.htm

25. Ulpian. (3rd century AD). Digesta (Pandects). Retrieved from The Roman Law Library website: http://droitromain.upmf-grenoble.fr/English/Texts/ULP1.htm

26. Magna Carta (1215). Retrieved from https://www.archives.gov/exhibits/featured-documents/magna-carta

27. Magna Carta (1215) original Script. Retrieved from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Magna_Carta#/media/File:Magna_Carta_(British_Library_Cotton_MS_Augustus_II.106).jpg

28. Council of Europe. (1950). Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms. Retrieved from https://www.refworld.org/docid/3ae6b3b04.html

29. European Court of Justice (ECJ). (January 2023). History of the European Court of Justice. Retrieved from https://www.ecj.europa.eu/about/history/index_en.html

30. Treaty on European Union (TEU). Consolidated version of the Treaty on European Union. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:12012M/TXT

31. Consolidated version of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union [TFEU] (2016). Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A12012E/TXT

32. Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A12012P/TXT

33. European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR) (1950). Retrieved from https://www.echr.coe.int/documents/convention_eng.pdf:

34. Directive 2010/64/EU. (2010). Directive on the right to interpretation and translation in criminal proceedings, 2010/64/EU. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32010L0064

35. Directive 2011/93/EU. (2011). Directive on combating the sexual abuse and sexual exploitation of children and child pornography, 2011/93/EU. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32011L0093

36. Directive 2012/13/EU. (2012). Directive on the right to information in criminal proceedings, 2012/13/EU. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32012L0013

37. Directive 2013/48/EU. (2013). Directive on the right of access to a lawyer in criminal proceedings, 2013/48/EU. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32013L0048

38. Directive 2016/343/EU. (2016). Directive on the presumption of innocence and the right to be present at the trial, 2016/343/EU. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32016L0343

39. Directive 2016/1914/EU. (2016). Directive on the European Investigation Order in criminal matters, 2016/1914/EU. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32016L1914

40. Directive 2000/31/EC. (2000). Directive on electronic commerce, 2000/31/EC. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32000L0031

41. Golder v United Kingdom, Judgment, Merits and Just Satisfaction, App No 4451/70, A/18, [1975] ECHR 1, (1979) 1 EHRR 524, IHRL 9 (ECHR 1975), 21st February 1975, European Court of Human Rights [ECHR] Retrieved from https://opil.ouplaw.com/display/10.1093/law:ihrl/9echr75.case.1/law-ihrl-9echr75

42. https://publications.parliament.uk/pa/ld200304/ldjudgmt/jd040617/ullah3.htm#:~:text=The%20effect%20of%20the%20decision,real%20risk%20of%20proscribed%20treatment.

43. French Republic v Commission of the European Communities. Competition in the markets in telecommunications terminals equipment. Case C-202/88. Retrieved from https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A61988CJ0202

44. Italian Republic v Commission of the European Communities. Action for annulment - State aid - Decision 2002/581/EC. Retrieved from https://curia.europa.eu/juris/liste.jsf?language=en&num=C-66/02

45. Al-Khawaja and Tahery v United Kingdom – 26766/05 [2011] ECHR 2127 (15 December 2011). Retrieved from https://hudoc.echr.coe.int/app/conversion/pdf/?library=ECHR&id=001-108072&filename=001-108072.pdf

46. Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR) (1948). Retrieved from https://www.un.org/en/documents/udhr/: https://www.un.org/en/documents/udhr/.

47. International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (1966). Retrieved from https://www.ohchr.org/en/professionalinterest/pages/ccpr.aspx

48. Tadic (1997). ICTY Case No. IT-94-1-T. Retrieved from https://www.asylumlawdatabase.eu/sites/default/files/aldfiles/Prosecutor%20v%20Tadic%20%28IT-94-1-AR72%29%20ICTY.pdf

49. Lubanga (2012). ICC-01/04-01/06. Retrieved from https://www.gojil.eu/issues/52/52_article_kurth.pdf

50. Armed Activities on the Territory of the Congo (Congo v. Uganda) (2005). ICJ Reports 2005. Retrieved from https://icj-cij.org/case/116

51. Prosecutor v. Furundzija (1998). ICTY Case No. IT-95-17/1. Retrieved from https://www.icty.org/en/press/furundzija-case-judgement-trial-chamber-anto-furundzija-found-guilty-both-charges-and

52. Avena and Other Mexican Nationals (Mexico v. United States of America) (2004). ICJ Reports 2004. Retrieved from https://www.icj-cij.org/case/128

53. Sahih al-Bukhari, Book 84, Hadith 108:

54. Al Quran 33:37

55. Sahih al-Bukhari, Book 8, Hadith 78:

56. Sahih Muslim, Book 3, Hadith 1039:

57. Sunan an-Nasa'i, Book 13, Hadith 3908

……